## **CONGLETON TOWN COUNCIL**

## **COMMITTEE REPORTS AND UPDATES**

COMMITTEE:	Council			
MEETING DATE	13 <sup>th</sup> June 2024	LOCATION	Congleton Town Hall	
AND TIME	7.00 pm			
REPORT FROM	David McGifford – Chief Officer			
AGENDA ITEM	12			
REPORT TITLE	Town Hall Decarbonisation project			
Background	<ul> <li>At the meeting of the Council on the 4<sup>th</sup> of April 2024 the Council made the following resolution CTC/93/2324 Resolved</li> <li>1. That the Council approves the signing of the Grant Offer as per Appendix 1 of the report.</li> <li>2. That the Chief Officer creates the required Project Board with the membership and powers as stated within the report.</li> <li>The report provided details of the need for a Project Board which would work under the</li> </ul>			
	following governance. <b>Governance Project Board</b> Part of the contractual conditions is for the council to provide monthly updates to the grant provider SALIX. As we are ultimately responsible for this project the main role of the council is to create a Project Board to work under delegated authority. The board will receive the monthly progress reports provided by the appointed Project Manager ensuring that the agreed programme of works is progressing as planned or noting any issues. Updates to the Council will be provided at the planned Council meetings for the year or at Emergency Meetings if there is a deviation of the plan that impacts on finance or outcomes.			
		ill be the Senior Responsible Officer for the project and it is proposed ect Board Members would be as follows:-		
Committees, Congleton Town Cour			airs of the THAS, F&P and Environment nd Paul Williams who has been our internal is the Technology Director - Dane Valley	
	of the project whose ro and tender stage. This w	le is to develop and ag work is generally under	pintment of a Project Manager for phase 1 ree on projects through to detailed designs taken by a company rather than just an was set at £57,683 all of which is grant-	
Updates	Aligned to our Financial Regulations this opportunity was posted on the Government Contract Finder website on the 5 <sup>th</sup> April 2024 with the tender period ending on the 7 <sup>th</sup> May 2024 - during this period we had 8 enquiries and 4 visits to the Town Hall.			
	We received 5 proposals which were reviewed by available project Board members, Firkin, Wesley, Williams and the Chief Offer, 1 was discounted on the grounds of cost and another on lack of relative experience in delivering similar projects to those required at the Town Hall.			
			nd invited for interview at the Town Hall or ard members were happy that any of the 3	

	remaining companies would be capable of delivering the required project management service.			
	On the 29 <sup>th</sup> of April 2024 Cllr Firkin, Cllr Wesley, Paul Williams and the Chief Officer received presentations from each company followed by a two-way question and answering session with each member of the project board scoring individually.			
	Company A scored 318 points			
	Company B scored 324 points			
	Company C scored 318 points			
	Each member of the interview panel was asked which two companies would they take forward thus potentially eliminating a company,			
	Company C 4 votes			
	Company B 3 votes			
	Company A 1 vote			
	As the point scoring was relatively close each company was contacted that evening and asked to provide references and clarification on various matters to enable the panel to come to a conclusion.			
	At the time of writing, we are awaiting references however subject to those references the panel have agreed that the preferred option is Company C followed by Company B for the following reasons			
	<ol> <li>Their location,</li> <li>Greater understanding of the project having prepared the original bid via CEC</li> <li>The work they are already undertaking with CEC and being involved with their Planning department and conservation team.</li> <li>Cost – circa £8k cheaper than company B.</li> <li>A general feeling of a more "hands-on approach".</li> <li>Based on the size of the company there is a likelihood we would get better value once we get to the delivery stage (phase 2).</li> </ol>			
Finance considerations	The preferred option, Company C is circa £8,000 less than Company B which provides an option to deliver small projects within year 1.			
Environmental considerations	The company are continually working on environmental projects and has the experience to develop this project. They are also doing a lot of work within this geographical area so there is no excessive travelling to and from the Town Hall.			
Equality considerations	All the companies had reasonable responses when asked about their policies and approach to equality, diversity and inclusion. The team was reassured that Company C would take a local approach, for example, working with the community and schools to explain the project and the importance of decarbonisation.			
Decision Requested	On the basis that The Chief Officer and 5 members of the Project Board (1 on holiday) are in agreement we would propose that we appoint company C as the company to deliver phase 1 Project Management subject to references and agreeing terms and conditions.			